# Single-View Depth Image Estimation

#### Fangchang Ma PhD Candidate at MIT (Sertac Karaman Group)

- homepage: www.mit.edu/~fcma/
- code: github.com/fangchangma







LABORATORY FOR INFORMATION & DECISION SYSTEMS

### Depth sensing is key to robotics advancement



#### 1979, Multi-view vision and the Stanford Cart

### Depth sensing is key to robotics advancement



#### 2007, Velodyne LiDAR and the DARPA Urban Challenge

### Depth sensing is key to robotics advancement



#### 2010, Kinect and aggressive drone manuvers

### Impact of depth sensing beyond robotics



#### Face ID by Apple



- Stereo Cameras
- Structure-light sensors
- Time-of-flight sensors (e.g., LiDARs)

6







Stereo: triangulation is accurate only at texture-rich regions











Structure-light Sensors: short range, high power consumption





#### LiDARs: extremely sparse measurements



# Single-View Depth Image Estimation

#### Depth completion



#### **Depth Prediction**



#### **Application 1: Sensor Enhancement**

#### Kinect



#### Velodyne LiDAR

#### **Application 2: Sparse Map Densification**

# PTAM



State-of-the-art, real-time SLAM algorithms are mostly (semi) feature-based, resulting in a sparse map representation

LSD-SLAM



Depth completion as a downstream, post-processing step for sparse SLAM algorithms, creating a dense map representation

# **Single-View Depth Image Estimation**

- Why is the problem challenging?
- How to solve the problem?
- How to train a model without ground truth?
- How fast can we run on embedded systems?
- How to obtain performance guarantees with DL?
- What to do if you "hate" deep learning?





# **Single-View Depth Image Estimation**

- Why is the problem challenging?
- How to solve the problem?
- How to train a model without ground truth?
- How fast can we run on embedded systems?
- How to obtain performance guarantees with DL?
- What to do if you "hate" deep learning?







- An ill-posed inverse problem
- High-dimensional, continuous prediction



	and the second sec
and the second	

 Biased / adversarial sampling • Varying number of measurements









### Cross-modality fusion (RGB + Depth)







### Lack of ground truth data (category vs. distance)

# **Single-View Depth Image Estimation**

- Why is the problem challenging?
- How to solve the problem?
- How to train a model without ground truth?
- How fast can we run on embedded systems?
- How to obtain performance guarantees with DL?
- What to do if you "hate" deep learning?





## Sparse-to-Dense: Deep Regression Neural Networks



- Direct encoding: use 0s to represent no-measurement
- Early-fusion strategy: concatenate RGB and sparse Depth at input level
- Network Architecture: standard convolutional neural network
- Train end-to-end using ground truth depth

# **Results on NYU Dataset**

- RGB only: RMS=51cm
- RGB + 20 measurements: RMS=35cm
- RGB + 50 measurements: RMS=28cm
- RGB + 200 measurements: RMS=23cm

Input: RGB



Input: Sparse Depth (201 samples)



Output: Dense Depth Image (relative error=2.4%)





## Scaling of Accuracy vs. Samples





#### **Application to Sparse Point Clouds**



#### **Application to Sparse Point Clouds**





## **Sparse-to-Dense:** depth prediction from sparse depth samples and a single image

Fangchang Ma, Sertac Karaman

ICRA'18 code: github.com/fangchangma/sparse-to-dense





# **Single-View Depth Image Estimation**

- Why is the problem challenging?
- How to solve the problem?
- How to train a model without ground truth?
- How fast can we run on embedded systems?
- How to obtain performance guarantees with DL?
- What to do if you "hate" deep learning?





#### Experiment 1. Supervised Training (Baseline). RMSE=0.814m (ranked 1st on KITTI).



(depth image)



#### Input (point cloud)

#### Prediction (point cloud)







































- **Estimate pose from**
- LiDAR and RGB









- **Estimate pose from**
- **LiDAR and RGB**





**Inverse warping** using both depth and pose











#### **Inverse warping** using both depth and pose











**Inverse warping** using both depth and pose

Penalize photometric differences







Supervised training requires ground truth depth labels, which are hard to acquire in practice









Warped RGB1



#### Photometric error

#### Experiment 2. Self-Supervised. RMSE=1.30m



(depth image)



#### Input (point cloud)

#### Prediction (point cloud)



### Self-supervised Sparse-to-Dense: Self-supervised Depth Completion from LiDAR and Monocular Camera

Fangchang Ma, Guilherme Venturelli Cavalheiro, Sertac Karaman

**ICRA 2019** code: github.com/fangchangma/self-supervised-depth-completion





# **Single-View Depth Image Estimation**

- Why is the problem challenging?
- How to solve the problem?
- How to train a model without ground truth?
- How fast can we run on embedded systems?
- How to obtain performance guarantees with DL?
- What to do if you "hate" deep learning?







- additive skip connections
- Network pruning applied to whole encoder-decoder network
- Platform-specific compilation targeting embedded systems

# FastDepth

 An Efficient and lightweight encoder-decoder network architecture with a low-latency design incorporating depthwise separable layers and



# FastDepth is the first demonstration of real-time depth estimation on embedded systems



# FastDepth is the first demonstration of real-time depth estimation on embedded systems



#### Achieved fast runtime through network design, pruning, and hardware-specific compilation





#### FastDepth performs similarly to more complex models, but 65x faster



This Work (178 fps on TX2 GPU)

#### Baseline ResNet-50 with UpProj (2.7 fps on TX2 GPU)

FastDepth: Fast Monocular Depth Estimation on Embedded Systems

ICRA 2019 fastdepth.mit.edu https://github.com/dwofk/fast-depth

#### Diana Wofk\*, Fangchang Ma\*, Tienju-Yang, Sertac Karaman, Vivienne Sze





# **Single-View Depth Image Estimation**

- Why is the problem challenging?
- How to solve the problem?
- How to train a model without ground truth?
- How fast can we run on embedded systems?
- How to obtain performance guarantees with DL?
- What to do if you "hate" deep learning?





#### Assumption: image can be modeled by a convolutional generative neural network











#### **Sub-sampling Process**









#### Rephrasing the depth-completion/image-inpainting problems



#### Question: can you find x (or equivalently, z), given only y?

#### Rephrasing the depth-completion/image-inpainting problems



#### If z is recovered, then we can reconstruct x as G(z) using a single forward pass

#### The latent code z can be computed efficiently using gradient descent







# z

# Main Theorem

For a 2-layer network, the latent code z can be recovered from the undersampled measurements y using gradient descents (with high probability) by minimizing the empirical loss function.









#### **Experimental Results**

#### Undersampled Measurements

Reconstructed Images



Ground Truth







#### Invertibility of Convolutional Generative Networks from Partial Measurements

Fangchang Ma\*, Ulas Ayaz\*, Sertac Karaman

NeurIPS 2018 (previously known as NIPS) code: github.com/fangchangma/invert-generative-networks





# **Single-View Depth Image Estimation**

- Why is the problem challenging?
- How to solve the problem?
- How to train a model without ground truth?
- How fast can we run on embedded systems?
- How to obtain performance guarantees with DL?
- What to do if you "hate" deep learning?





#### Depth Completion: Linear model with planar assumption



Input: only sparse depth Output: dense depth

**Fangchang Ma**, Luca Carlone, Ulas Ayaz, Sertac Karaman. "Sparse sensing for resourceconstrained depth reconstruction". *IROS'16* 

**Fangchang Ma**, Luca Carlone, Ulas Ayaz, Sertac Karaman. "Sparse Depth Sensing for Resource-Constrained Robots". *The International Journal of Robotics Research (IJRR)* 

#### Depth Completion: Linear model with planar assumption

Planar Assumption: a relatively structured environment can be well approximated by a small number of planar surfaces



Observation: 2nd derivative of planar surfaces is sparse

Implication: 2nd derivative of a structured environment is approximately sparse (sparsity of 2nd derivative is a measure of scene complexity)

#### **Depth Completion: Linear model with planar assumption**

Planar Assumption: sparse 2nd derivative in a typical depth image

Goal: find the simplest depth image (with the sparsest 2nd derivative) that is aligned with our measurements  $\min_{x} \|\Delta x\|_0, \quad \text{subject to } y = Ax$ 

> Convex Relaxation (Linear Programming):  $\min \left\| \Delta x \right\|_1, \quad \text{subject to } y = Ax$

#### Depth Completion: Linear model with planar assumption

environment (rgb images not used)



comparison: linear interpolation (average error=17.1cm)





output: proposed approach (average error=15.2cm)



#### **Sparse Depth Sensing for Resource-Constrained Robots**

Fangchang Ma\*, Ulas Ayaz\*, Sertac Karaman

The International Journal of Robotics Research (IJRR) code: github.com/sparse-depth-sensing/sparse-depth-sensing





# Single-View Depth Image Estimation

### Fangchang Ma

homepage: www.mit.edu/~fcma/ code: github.com/fangchangma

- Why is the problem challenging?
- How to solve the problem?
- How to train a model without ground truth?
- How fast can we run on embedded systems?
- How to obtain performance guarantees with DL?
- What to do if you "hate" deep learning?







