



Optimization for DirectX9 Graphics

Ashu Rege









Last Year: Batch, Batch, Batch

- Moral of the story: Small batches BAD
- What is a "batch"
 - Every DrawIndexedPrimitive call is a batch
 - All render, texture, shader, ... state is same





Simple Test App

- Degenerate Triangles (no fill cost)
- Post TnL Cache Vertices (no xform cost)
- Static Data (minimal AGP overhead)
- Fixed (~100 K) Tris/Frame
- Vary Number of Batches



Last Year's Graph Updated

Measured Performance: Different Batch-Sizes







This Year: Son Of A Batch

- What makes an app 'batchy'?
 - Too many state changes
- What kinds of state changes?

Techniques to reduce batches



State Changes

- Analysis of some popular games
- Top State Changes:
 - Texture State
 - Vertex Shaders and Vertex Shader Constants
 - Pixel Shaders and Pixel Shader Constants



Do State Changes Really Matter?

- Cost of state changes
- Comparison with no state changes
- One state change:
 - Factor of 4 drop in fps (on average)
- Multiple state changes:
 - Another factor of 2-5 drop



How To Sort?

Seems like an n-dimensional problem

• Should I sort by texture, pixel shader, vertex shader, ... what?







Texture v. Pixel Shader



Different Pixel Shaders





Collapse One Of The Axes



Different Pixel Shaders



Texture Atlases





Basic Idea

- Select batch-breaking textures
- Pack into one or more texture atlases
- Update the *uv*-coordinates of models

Convert multiple DIP calls into one





What About Mip-Maps?

- What happens to the lowest 1x1 level?
 Smearing?
- Tool-chain should generate mip-maps before packing

Use special purpose mip-map filters



What About Lower Levels?

1 16x16 Sub-Texture

12 8x8 Sub-Textures



4x4 Level



2x2 Level



Smearing





Auto-Generation of Mip-Maps

- 2x2 Box filter can also work for power-of-2 textures
 - Both atlas and sub-textures in it are pow2
 - Textures should not cross pow2 lines



Proper Placement For Box Filter





What About Lower Levels?

1 16x16 Sub-Texture

12 8x8 Sub-Textures



4x4 Level



2x2 Level



Smearing





Possible Solutions

- Terminate mip chain to fit smallest subtexture
 - Image Quality and Performance Issues
- Use only sub-textures of same size
 May be inflexible
- But there's good news...

Cannot Access Lower Levels

- A triangle's texture coordinates never span across sub-textures
- Worst case: pixel-sized triangle spanning entire sub-texture
- Only "1-texel" level is accessed
 Fill it with valid data

Cannot Access Lower Levels

 DirectX raster rules make it unlikely for smaller quad (or tri) to generate pixel

Other Issues

- Address modes such as clamp?
 - Use *ddx*, *ddy* in pixel-shader to emulate modes
- Smearing due to filtering
 - Texels on border of sub-textures get smeared
 - Aniso can help: smaller footprint
 - Do re-mapping of texcoords in pixel shaders
 - Pad textures with border texels

DirectX9 Instancing API

- What is it?
 - Single draw call to draw multiple instances of the same model
- Why should you care?
 Avoid DIP calls and minimize batching overhead
- What do you need?
 - DirectX 9.0c
 - VS 3.0/PS 3.0 support

When To Use Instancing

- Many Instance of Same Model

 Forest of trees, particle systems, sprites
- Encode per-instance data in auxiliary stream – Colors, texture coordinates, per-instance consts
- Not as useful if batching overhead is low
 - Fixed overhead to instancing

How Does It Work?

Vertex stream frequency divider API

- Primary stream is a single copy of the model data
- Secondary stream: per instance data
 pointer is advanced for each rendered instance

Simple Instancing Example

- 100 poly trees
 - Stream 0 contains just the one tree model
 - Stream 1 contains model WVP transforms
 - Possibly calculated per frame based on the instances in the view
 - Vertex Shader is the same as normal, except you use the matrix from the vertex stream instead of the matrix from VS constants
- If you are drawing 10k trees that's a lot of draw call savings!
 - You could manipulate the VB and pre-transform verts, but it's often tricky, and you are replicating a lot of data

Some Test Results

1 million diffuse shaded polys in each run

Test Summary

- Big win for small batch sizes
- Fixed overhead for instancing
- Cross-over point changes depending on CPU and GPU, engine overhead etc.

More Information

- White paper and tools soon for texture atlases on www.nvidia.com/developer
- "Profiling Your DirectX Application" in NVIDIA sponsored session on Wed.

Questions?

Contact: arege@nvidia.com

